General Technical Guidance for Treatment Measures

The technical guidance in this Chapter will help you with proper sizing and design concepts for various types of stormwater treatment measures.

This chapter contains general technical information regarding stormwater treatment measures for all types of new development and redevelopment projects. It includes the following topics:

- Hydraulic sizing criteria;
- Getting runoff into stormwater treatment measures;
- Infiltration guidelines;
- Underdrains;
- Bypassing high flows;
- Using “treatment trains”;
- Mosquito control;
- Plant selection and maintenance.

5.1 Hydraulic Sizing Criteria

The stormwater treatment measures must be sized to treat stormwater runoff from relatively small sized storms (storms with frequent recurrence intervals) that comprise the great majority of all storms. The intent is to treat most of the stormwater runoff on an average annual basis while recognizing that it would be infeasible to size stormwater treatment measures to treat runoff from very large storms that occur only every few years. (See Section 5.5 for more information on how stormwater treatment measures that are sized to treat runoff from small, frequent storms can also be designed to handle flows from large, infrequent storms.)
How Much of Project Site Needs Stormwater Treatment?

The Municipal Regional Stormwater Permit requires that, for all “Regulated Projects”¹, runoff from the project site must be treated. Exceptions to the stormwater treatment requirement for Regulated Projects are pervious areas that are “self-treating” (including areas of pervious pavement with a hydraulically-sized aggregate base layer) as described in Section 4.1, and “self-retaining areas” designed to store and infiltrate runoff from rooftops or paved areas as described in Section 4.2. Other than “self-treating areas” and “self-retaining areas,” runoff from all areas of a project site must receive stormwater treatment.

For redevelopment projects, the “50% Rule” applies. Projects that alter or replace less than 50 percent of existing impervious surface need to treat stormwater runoff only from the portion of the site that is redeveloped. Projects that alter or replace 50 percent or more of the existing impervious surface are required to treat runoff from the entire site.

Flow-Based Versus Volume-Based Treatment Measures

For hydraulic sizing purposes, stormwater treatment measures can be divided generally into three groups: flow-based, volume-based, and treatment measures that use a combination of flow and volume capacity. Flow-based treatment measures remove pollutants from a moving stream of stormwater through filtration, infiltration or biological processes, and the treatment measures are sized based on hourly or peak flow rates. Examples of flow-based treatment measures include tree well filters and most proprietary media filters. Volume-based treatment measures detain stormwater for periods of time and treat primarily through settling and/or infiltration processes. Examples of volume-based stormwater treatment measures include infiltration basins and infiltration trenches. Flow-through planters and bioretention areas can use a sizing method based on a combination of flow and volume for stormwater treatment. Table 5-1 shows which hydraulic sizing method is appropriate for commonly used stormwater treatment measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Treatment Measure</th>
<th>LID?</th>
<th>Hydraulic Sizing Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bioretention area</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Flow- or volume-based or combination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow-through planter box</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Flow- or volume-based or combination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree well filter</td>
<td>Yes²</td>
<td>Flow-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration trench</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Volume-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface infiltration system</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Volume-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater harvesting and reuse</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Volume-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media filter</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flow-based (most)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended detention basin</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Volume-based</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹“Regulated Projects” are projects that create and/or replace 10,000 square feet or more of impervious surface, or 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface for restaurants, automotive service facilities, retail gasoline outlets, and surface parking areas.

²A tree well filter is considered LID treatment if biotreatment soil is used as the filter media and the unit is sized based on a 5 in/hr surface loading rate.
Note that this section does not address the sizing of a treatment system that will be used for both volume and flow duration control, as may be required if the project is subject to hydromodification management (HM) requirements.

**Volume-Based Sizing Criteria**

The Municipal Regional Stormwater Permit specifies two alternative methods for hydraulically sizing volume-based stormwater treatment measures:

- Determine the stormwater quality volume for the area, based on historical rainfall records, using the formula and volume capture coefficients in “Urban Runoff Quality Management (URQM), WEF Manual of Practice No. 23/ASCE Manual and Report on Engineering Practice No. 87 (1998), pages 175-178 (known as the “URQM Approach”); or
- Determine the stormwater quality volume equal to 80% of the annual runoff, in accordance with the methodology in Appendix D of the California Stormwater Best Management Practices Handbook (2003) using local rainfall data (known as the California BMP Handbook Approach”).

The URQM approach is based on modeling and regression analysis using long-term rainfall records from six U.S. cities, including San Francisco, but the coefficients are based on average storm event size and do not represent local rainfall patterns. The California BMP Handbook Approach incorporates sizing curves that were developed using continuous simulation modeling based on local rainfall data. For these reasons, SCVURPPP recommends the use of the “California Stormwater BMP Handbook Approach.” This approach was adapted for Santa Clara Valley using continuous simulation modeling to generate sizing curves based on rainfall records from four rain gages in Santa Clara County (see Table 5-2) for various soil types and site slopes. These curves are provided in Appendix B.

SCVURPPP has developed a Worksheet for Sizing Volume-Based Treatment Controls, which is included in Appendix B. Completing this worksheet will walk you through the following steps to size your volume-based treatment measure.

1. **Determine the treatment measure drainage area.** This includes all areas that will contribute runoff to the stormwater treatment measure, including pervious and impervious areas. The drainage areas of the site should be laid out such that any self-treating areas (described in Section 4.1) or impervious surfaces that drain to self-retaining areas (described in Section 4.2) do NOT drain to the treatment measure.

2. **Determine the percent imperviousness** of the drainage area for the stormwater treatment measure.

3. **Determine the mean annual precipitation** for the project site (MAP<sub>site</sub>) using the map in Appendix B.
4. Identify the **reference rain gage** that is closest to your project site from the list of rain gages in Table 5-2, and the mean annual precipitation for the reference gage \((\text{MAP}_{\text{gage}})\).

5. Determine the **rain gage correction factor** for the precipitation at your site using the information from Step 3 and Step 4.

\[
\text{Correction Factor} = \frac{\text{MAP}_{\text{site}}}{\text{MAP}_{\text{gage}}}
\]

6. Identify the general **soil type** for the treatment measure drainage area, using the map in Appendix B or site soils information.

7. Determine the **average slope** for the drainage area of the treatment measure.

8. Determine the **unit basin storage volume** using the sizing curves provided in Appendix B. The worksheet in Appendix B will help you identify which curve to use for the applicable rain gage, depending on the average slope and soil type. You may need to interpolate between the curves for your site’s average slope.

9. Size the stormwater treatment measure using the following equation:

\[
\text{Water quality design volume} = \text{Rain gage correction factor} \times \text{Unit Basin Storage Volume} \times \text{Drainage area}
\]

### Table 5-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rain Gage</th>
<th>Mean Annual Precipitation ((\text{MAP}_{\text{gage}}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Jose Airport</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palo Alto</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilroy</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Hill</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix B includes examples of sizing volume-based treatment measures using the worksheet.

**Flow-Based Sizing Criteria**

The Municipal Regional Stormwater Permit specifies three alternative methods for hydraulically sizing flow-based stormwater treatment control measures, such as vegetated swales, flow through planter boxes, and media filters. These three methods are described in Table 5-3.
Table 5-3
Flow-based Sizing Criteria Included in MRP Provision C.3.d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow-based Sizing Criteria</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Practice Tips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentile Rainfall Intensity</td>
<td>The flow of runoff produced by a rain event equal to at least two times the 85th percentile hourly rainfall intensity, using local rainfall data.</td>
<td>Curves providing the 85th percentile rainfall intensity for the four rain gages in Santa Clara Valley are provided in Appendix B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 Inch-per-Hour Intensity (Uniform Intensity Approach)</td>
<td>Simplification of the Percentile Rainfall Intensity Method: The flow of runoff resulting from a rain event equal to at least 0.2 inches per hour intensity.</td>
<td>This simplified approach is most commonly used. In the Bay Area, calculating the percentile rainfall intensity has generally resulted in a value of 0.2 in/hr or greater. However, in some areas of the Santa Clara Valley, the percentile rainfall intensity is less than 0.2 in/hr, which may result in a smaller treatment facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% of the 50-year peak flow rate (Factored Flood Flow Approach)</td>
<td>The design flow rate is determined using Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves published by the local flood control agency or climactic data center.</td>
<td>This approach may be used if the 50-year peak flow has been determined. This approach has not been used locally.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentile rainfall intensity method is based on ranking the hourly depth of rainfall from storms over a long period, determining the 85th percentile hourly rainfall depth and multiplying this value by two. The permit also allows the use of 0.2 inches/hour as one of the three alternative methods regardless of the results from calculating values from local rainfall depths.

Because two of the permit allowed methods yield similar results and the third method requires data that may not be readily available, SCVURPPP recommends the use of a rainfall intensity of 0.2 inches/hour or two times the 85th percentile rainfall intensity at a local rain gage (adjusted based on MAP) to design flow-based treatment systems.

The Sizing for Flow-Based Treatment Controls Worksheet in Appendix B provides the procedures to size the stormwater treatment measure using the Rational Method, which computes the runoff resulting from the design rainfall intensity. The Rational Method formula is:

\[ Q = CiA \]

Where

- \( Q \) = flow in cubic feet/second
- \( i \) = rainfall intensity in inches/hour
- \( C \) = composite runoff coefficient (unitless – see Table 5-4)
- \( A \) = drainage area in acres
To accomplish this, the worksheet uses the following steps:

1. Determine the **drainage area**, “A,” for the stormwater treatment measure.

2. Determine the **runoff coefficient**, “C,” from Table 5-4. Note that it is more accurate to compute an area-weighted “C-factor” based on the surfaces in the drainage area, if possible, than to assume a composite C-factor.

3. Use a design intensity of **0.2 inches/hour** for “i” in the Q=CiA equation.

4. Determine the design flow (Q) using Q = CiA:

   \[ Q = \text{[Step 2]} \times 0.2 \text{ in/hr} \times \text{[Step 1]} = \text{cubic ft/sec} \]

---

### Table 5-4
**Estimated Runoff Coefficients for Various Surfaces During Small Storms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Surface</th>
<th>Runoff Coefficients “C” factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roofs</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone, brick, or concrete pavers with mortared joints and bedding</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone, brick, or concrete pavers with sand joints and bedding</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pervious concrete</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous asphalt</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeable interlocking concrete pavement</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid pavements with grass or aggregate surface</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushed aggregate</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: These C-factors are only appropriate for small storm treatment design and should not be used for flood control sizing. When available, locally developed small storm C-factors for various surfaces may be used.

Appendix B includes examples of sizing flow-based treatment measures using the worksheet.

---

3 Note that the Rational Method formula produces a result with units of “acre-in/hour”; however, the conversion factor from acre-in/hour to cubic feet/second is approximately 1.0.
Simplified Sizing Method

A biotreatment measure (e.g., bioretention area or flow-through planter) can be sized by calculating a surface area equal to 4 percent of the contributing impervious area. This is a flow-based sizing method, assuming a runoff inflow of 0.2 inches per hour (equal to the rainfall intensity), with an infiltration rate of 5 inches per hour (0.2 in/hr divided by 5 in/hr = 0.04). This “4 percent method” is conservative as it does not take into consideration the volume of water that is temporarily detained in the surface ponding area; however, it is the recommended method to design bioretention areas, because it maximizes the amount of infiltration that can be achieved at a given location. If there are site constraints or infiltration is not allowed, then the combination flow and volume method may be allowed.

The 4 percent method requires the surface area of the treatment measure to be 4 percent of the impervious area that drains to it (1,750 square feet of bioretention area per impervious acre). If areas of landscaping or pervious paving are within the drainage area that will contribute runoff to the treatment measure, the area of these pervious surfaces is multiplied by a factor of 0.1 and added to the area of impervious surface, to obtain the amount of “effective impervious surface”. To apply the 4 percent method, the worksheet uses the following steps:

1. Based on the topography of the site and configuration of buildings, divide the site into drainage areas, each of which will drain to one LID treatment measure. Implement Steps 2 through 5 for each drainage area.

2. Minimize the amount of landscaping or pervious pavement that will contribute runoff to the LID treatment measures. Refer to Sections 4.2 and 4.3 to design areas of landscaping or pervious pavement as “self-treating areas” or “self-retaining areas,” so that they do not contribute runoff to the LID treatment measure and may be excluded from the drainage areas for the treatment measures.

3. For each drainage area in which a portion of the area that will contribute runoff to the treatment measure consists of pervious surfaces (landscaping or pervious paving), multiply the area of pervious surface by a factor of 0.1.

4. For applicable drainage areas, add the product obtained in Step 3 to the area of impervious surface, to obtain the area of “effective impervious surface.”

5. Multiply the impervious surface (or effective impervious surface in applicable drainage areas) by a factor of 0.04. This is the required surface area of the LID treatment measure.

Combination Flow and Volume Design Basis

Some stormwater treatment measures, such as bioretention areas and flow-through planters, include some design elements that provide flow-based treatment and some that provide volume-based treatment. For example, flow-based treatment occurs in a biotreatment area with an underdrain as stormwater filters through the soil and flows out the underdrain. Volume-based treatment is provided when stormwater is stored in the surface ponding area and the pore spaces of the soil media. The ponding area may be sized so that it retains a certain volume of runoff prior to it entering the soil at the required 5 inch per hour surface loading rate.

The “simplified approach” for sizing bioretention areas and flow-through planters, in which the surface area of the treatment measure is designed to be 4 percent of the impervious area that drains to the treatment measure, is a flow-based sizing approach. This approach tends to result in the design of a conservatively large treatment measure because it does not account
for any storage provided by the surface ponding area or media pore volume. A volume-based sizing approach for bioretention areas, in which the surface ponding area and depth are sized to contain the entire water quality design volume, is also conservative because it does not take into account the emptying of this ponding area into the soil media during the storm event.

Provision C.3.d of the MRP specifies that treatment measures that use a combination of flow and volume capacity shall be sized to treat at least 80 percent of the total runoff over the life of the project, using local rainfall data. This sizing criteria is best applied when using a continuous simulation hydrologic model to demonstrate that a treatment system is in compliance with C.3.d. However, when doing sizing calculations by hand, compliance with C.3.d. can be demonstrated by showing how the treatment system design meets both the flow-based and volume-based criteria.

For bioretention areas and flow-through planters, the following approach may be used to take into consideration both the flow of stormwater through the planting media and the volume of stormwater in the surface ponding area. Note that the approach assumes that all of the design rainfall becomes runoff, and thus it is appropriate for use where the drainage area to the bioretention area is mostly impervious (contributing pervious area can be converted to equivalent impervious areas using the factors in Table 5-4).

1. Determine the required treatment volume using the recommended volume-based sizing approach described earlier in Section 5.1. As part of this method, you will calculate the unit basin storage volume in inches using the sizing curves provided in Appendix B (adjusted for the mean annual precipitation of the project site) and the water quality design volume in cubic feet (the unit basin storage volume multiplied by the drainage area to the treatment measure, converted to units of cubic feet). For example, say you determined the adjusted unit basin storage volume to be 0.5 inches, and the drainage area to the bioretention facility is 7,000 square feet. Then the water quality design volume would be 0.5 inches \( \times \) (1 foot/12 inches) \( \times \) 7,000 square feet = 292 cubic feet.

2. Assume that a rainfall intensity of 0.2 inches/hour will be used as the flow based sizing criteria (as recommended by the Urban Runoff Program).

3. Assume that the rain event that generates the required capture volume of runoff determined in Step 1 occurs at a constant intensity of 0.2 inches/hour from the start of the storm (i.e., assume a rectangular hydrograph). Calculate the duration of the rain event by dividing the unit basin storage volume by the intensity. In other words, determine the amount of time required for the unit basin storage volume to be achieved at a rate of 0.2 inches/hour. For example, if the unit basin storage volume is 0.5 inches, the rain event duration is 0.5 inches \( \div \) 0.2 inches/hour = 2.5 hours.

4. Make a preliminary estimate of the surface area of the bioretention facility by multiplying the effective impervious surface area to be treated by a sizing factor of 0.04. For example, a drainage area of 7,000 square feet \( \times \) 0.04 = 280 square feet of bioretention treatment area.

5. Assume a bioretention area that is about 25% smaller than the bioretention area calculated in Step 4. Using the example above, 280 – (0.25 \( \times \) 280) = 210 square feet. Calculate the volume of runoff that filters through the treatment soil at a rate of 5 inches per hour (the design surface loading rate for bioretention facilities), for the duration of the rain event calculated in Step 3. For example, for a bioretention treatment area of 210 square feet,
with an infiltration rate of 5 inches per hour for a duration of 2.5 hours, the volume of

treated runoff = 210 square feet \times 5 \text{ inches/hour} \times (1 \text{ foot}/12 \text{ inches}) \times 2.5 \text{ hours} = 219

cubic feet.

6. Calculate the portion of the water quality design volume remaining after treatment is

accomplished by filtering through the treatment soil. The result is the amount that must

be stored in the ponding area above the reduced bioretention area assumed in Step 5.

For example, the amount remaining to be stored comparing Step 1 and Step 5 is 292

cubic feet − 219 cubic feet = 73 cubic feet. If this volume is stored over a surface area of

210 square feet, the average ponding depth would be 73 cubic feet ÷ 210 square feet =

0.35 feet or 4.2 inches.

7. Check to see if the average ponding depth is approximately 6 inches, which is the

recommended ponding depth in a bioretention facility or flow-through planter. If the

ponding depth is less than 6 inches, the bioretention design can be optimized with a

smaller surface area (i.e., repeat Steps 5 and 6 with a smaller area). If the ponding depth is

greater than 6 inches (or the depth allowed by the municipality), a larger surface area will

be required. (In the above example, the optimal size of the bioretention area is 190 square

feet with a ponding depth of 6 inches.)

Appendix B includes examples of sizing bioretention areas using this combination flow-

and volume-based method.

Minimum Bioretention Facility Sizing

Some stormwater control plans for development projects have incorporated upstream storage

facilities from which collected runoff is pumped to a bioretention area at a slow rate. This allows

the bioretention facility to be sized smaller than if it received runoff directly. It is recommended

that the minimum surface area of a bioretention facility should be at least 2% of the

contributing impervious area. In addition, as discussed in Section 3.2.2, treatment

measures should be designed so that drainage into and out of the treatment measure is by

gravity flow. Pumped systems may be necessary for certain retrofit projects but should be

considered the last resort, as they are more expensive, require more maintenance, are difficult

to inspect, can introduce sources of underground standing water that promotes mosquito

production, and have greater potential for failure.

5.2 Getting Runoff into Treatment Measures

Stormwater may be routed into stormwater treatment measures using sheet flow or curb

cuts. The following guidance on common curb cut types is taken from the San Mateo County

Sustainable Green Streets and Parking Lots Design Guidebook.

A minimum 18-inch width is recommended for
curb cuts, to avoid clogging. A minimum 2-inch drop in grade between the impervious surface

and the finish grade of the stormwater treatment facility is required; a 4- to 6-inch drop is

Figure 5-1: Cobbles in this stormwater treatment measure in San José help
prevent erosion.
recommended so that vegetation or mulch build-up does not obstruct flow. To avoid erosion, cobbles or other energy dissipater materials are recommended below the drop for a distance of at least 4 feet. The overflow drain for the treatment measure should not be located directly in line with or next to the curb cut.

**Standard Curb Cut: Design Guidance**

- Opening should be at least 18 inches wide; for smaller facilities, 12” width may be allowed subject to municipal approval.\(^4\)
- Curb cut can have vertical sides or have chamfered sides at 45 degrees (as shown).
- Need to slope the bottom of the concrete curb toward the stormwater facility.
- Allow a change in elevation of 4 to 6 inches between the paved surface and biotreatment soil elevation.
- Provide cobbles or other energy dissipater to prevent erosion below the drop, for 4 ft.

---

\(^4\) For on-grade openings, it is recommended that the designer calculate the required opening width to account for the approach velocity, so that the design flow does not bypass the opening. The opening to the treatment measure should be sized similar to an on-grade storm drain inlet. The flow rate, approach depth and flow cross section dictate the length of the curb opening needed. See Orange County Local Drainage Manual, 1996: [http://www.ocflood.com/Documents/pdf/Local_Drainage_Manual_1996.pdf](http://www.ocflood.com/Documents/pdf/Local_Drainage_Manual_1996.pdf)
Standard Curb Cut with Side Wings: Design Guidance

- Opening should be at least 18 inches wide; for smaller facilities, a 12” width may be allowed subject to municipal approval.
- Works well with stormwater facilities that have steeper side slope conditions.
- Need to slope the bottom of the concrete curb toward the stormwater facility.
- Allow a change in elevation of 4 to 6 inches between the paved surface and biotreatment soil elevation, so that vegetation or mulch build-up does not obstruct flow.
- Provide cobbles or other energy dissipater to prevent erosion below the drop.

Figure 5-4: Standard curb cut: plan view (Source: SMCWPPP 2009)

Figure 5-5: The side wings of this standard curb cut help retain the side slope grade on each side of the curb cut opening.

Figure 5-6: Standard curb cut with side wings: cut section view (Source: SMCWPPP 2009)
Wheelstop Curbs: Design Guidance

- Wheelstops allow water to flow through frequently spaced openings.
- Wheelstops are most common in parking lot applications, but they may also be applied to certain street conditions.
- Need to provide a minimum of 6 inches of space between the wheelstop edge and edge of paving. This is to provide structural support for the wheelstop.
- Allow a change in elevation of 4 to 6 inches between the paved surface and biotreatment soil elevation, so that vegetation or mulch build-up does not obstruct flow.
- Provide cobbles or other energy dissipater at wheel stop opening to prevent erosion.

Figure 5-7: Standard curb cut with side wings: plan view (Source: SMCWPPP 2009)

Figure 5-8: Stormwater runoff enters the stormwater facility through the 3-foot space between these wheelstops. The design could be improved by providing more of a drop in grade between the asphalt and landscape area.

Figure 5-9: Opening between wheelstop curbs: section view (Source: SMCWPPP 2009)
Grated Curb Cut: Design Guidance

- Grated curb cuts allow stormwater to be conveyed under a pedestrian walkway. The curb cut opening should be at least 18 inches wide; 12" may be allowed for smaller facilities subject to municipal approval. (See footnote under standard curb cut for design of on-grade opening.)

- Grates need to be ADA compliant and have sufficient slip resistance.

- Allow a change in elevation of 4 to 6 inches between the paved surface and biotreatment soil elevation, so that vegetation or mulch build-up does not obstruct flow.
5.3 Infiltration Guidelines

Infiltration is a preferred LID treatment measure and a cost-effective method to manage stormwater – if the conditions on the site allow. Site design and treatment measures that accomplish stormwater infiltration can be categorized as follows:

- **Site design measures** -- clustering development or otherwise laying out the site to reduce the overall impervious area, routing drainage from building roofs to landscaped areas for infiltration, and using pervious pavement.

- **Indirect infiltration** – methods which allow stormwater runoff to percolate through surface soils. Runoff may reach groundwater indirectly, following treatment by surface soils. Bioretention is an example of an indirect infiltration method.

- **Direct infiltration** methods, which are designed to bypass surface soils and transmit runoff directly to subsurface soils, which allows infiltration to groundwater. These types of devices must be located and designed to limit the potential for stormwater pollutants to reach groundwater. Infiltration basins and trenches are examples of a direct infiltration method.

The local jurisdiction may require a geotechnical review for your project. When selecting site design and stormwater treatment measures that promote on-site infiltration, be sure to follow the geotechnical engineer's recommendations based on soil boring data, percolation tests, drainage patterns, and conditions needed for slope stability. The geotechnical engineer's input will be critical to prevent infiltrating water from damaging building foundations, surrounding properties, public improvements, and sloped banks.

*Appendix A* provides guidelines to help you determine whether your project site is suitable for infiltration measures or devices and regulatory requirements that apply to infiltration devices.

5.4 Underdrains

Where the existing soils have a lower infiltration rate than soils specified for a landscape-based stormwater treatment measure, it may be necessary to install an underdrain to allow the
treatment measure to function as designed and prevent the accumulation of standing water. In most of Santa Clara Valley, underdrains will be required.

Underdrains are perforated pipes that allow water to enter the pipe and flow to the storm drain system. To help prevent clogging, two rows of perforation may be used along the underside of the pipe. Cleanouts should be installed to allow access to underdrains to remove debris. Underdrains should NOT be wrapped in filter fabric, to avoid clogging. Underdrains are typically installed in a layer of washed drain rock or Class 2 permeable aggregate, beneath more permeable stormwater biotreatment soils. The nominal rock diameter size used in the rock layer should be larger than the diameter of the perforations in the subdrain to prohibit drain rock from entering the subdrain pipe.

When designing a bioretention facility and infiltration is permitted onsite, the underdrain should be placed near the top of the drain rock layer to allow as much water to infiltrate into native soils as possible before entering the underdrain and discharging to a storm drain. If infiltration is not permitted due to site conditions such as high groundwater, contaminated soils, proximity to structures, etc., the bioretention facility should be lined and the underdrain placed near the bottom of the drain rock layer. Refer to the technical guidance for specific stormwater treatment measures in Chapter 6 for more details.

5.5 Bypassing High Flows

Although stormwater treatment measures are sized to remove pollutants from flows resulting from frequent, small storms, projects must also be designed to bypass drainage from large infrequent flows to prevent flooding and potential damage to the treatment measure. The safe conveyance of high flows through or around the treatment measure may be accomplished in one of two ways, which are described below.

One option is to have the flows that are larger than those required by the hydraulic sizing criteria (given in Section 5.1) handled within the stormwater treatment measure. This includes making sure that landscape-based stormwater treatment measures do not erode during flows that will be experienced during larger storms. Infiltrating vegetated swales and extended detention basins can be designed to handle higher flows, although they would not be providing much treatment during these flows.

Bioretention areas, flow-through planter boxes, and other treatment systems that rely on filtering or infiltrating stormwater through soils must have overflow systems that allow high flows larger than the water quality design flow or volume to bypass the stormwater treatment measure. These systems have to include an alternative flow path for high flows, otherwise stormwater would back up and flood the project area. The technical guidance in Chapter 6 for treatment measures that operate in this manner includes design standards for high-flow bypasses.

The second option for stormwater treatment measures designed as low-flow systems is to restrict stormwater flows to the treatment measure and bypass excess flows around the facility. Bypassing larger flows helps prevent hydraulic overload and resuspension of sediment, and it can protect stormwater treatment measures from erosion. In some designs, the ponding depth in the bioretention facility may prevent the excess runoff from entering the facility, causing it to flow to a separate grate system or downstream inlet.
Flow splitter devices may be used to direct the initial flows of runoff, or “first flush,” into a stormwater treatment measure, and bypass excess flows from larger storm events around the facility into a bypass pipe or channel. The bypass may connect directly to the storm drain system, or to another stormwater control measure that is designed to handle high flows. This can be accomplished using a stepped manhole (Figure 5-14) or a proprietary flow splitter (Figure 5-15). The proprietary flow splitter works in the following manner: runoff enters the device by way of the inlet at the left side of the figure; low flows are conveyed to the stormwater treatment measure by way of the outlet pipe at the lower right. Once the treatment measure reaches its design capacity, water backs up in the low-flow outlet pipe and into the flow splitter. When the water level in the flow splitter reaches the bypass weir elevation, stormwater begins to flow out the overflow pipe, shown at the upper right of the figure, bypassing the stormwater treatment measure.

Figure 5-14: Stepped manhole design directs low-flows to treatment measure and diverts high flows to storm drain system. (BKF Engineers)

Figure 5-15: StormGate™ flow splitter structure. Source: Contech Construction Products
Use of this illustration is for general information only and is not an endorsement of this or any other proprietary device.
5.6 Using Treatment Trains

Stormwater can be directed to flow through a series of different types of stormwater treatment measures that are each designed to treat different broad categories of stormwater pollutants. These groupings of stormwater treatment measures have been called “stormwater treatment trains” or a “multiple treatment system.” The use of a series of treatment measures is most effective where each treatment measure optimizes the removal of a particular type of pollutant, such as coarse solids and debris, pollutants associated with fine solids, and dissolved pollutants. Targeting specific treatment processes by constituent is referred to as “unit process” design. Each stormwater treatment measure in a treatment train should be sized using the Provision C.3 numeric sizing criteria.

The simplest version and most common use of a treatment train consists of pretreatment prior to the stormwater reaching the main treatment system. For example, bioretention areas may use vegetated buffer strips to pretreat stormwater to settle out sediment before the stormwater enters the bioretention area. This type of pretreatment helps prevent sediment from clogging the bioretention area, which maximizes its life. Another example is when a hydrodynamic separator is used to remove trash and coarse sediment upstream of a media filter or subsurface infiltration system. Note that non-LID treatment measures may be used in the treatment train as long as the last measure in the train is an LID treatment measure.

Another option for a treatment train is to provide upstream storage for a treatment measure, which may allow the treatment measure to be reduced in size. For example, a rainwater cistern may be used to store and slowly release water to a bioretention facility. Conversely, the bioretention facility can be used to treat the overflow from the cistern if there is insufficient irrigation or toilet flushing demand to empty the cistern prior to the next rain event.

Figure 5-16: Detention pond at a retirement center in Saratoga
5.7 Mosquito Control

Some types of stormwater treatment measures are designed to detain water, and other treatment measures may have the potential to retain standing water if they are not properly designed, constructed and maintained. The surface of standing water provides habitat for mosquitoes. Local agencies annually provide information to the Santa Clara County Vector Control District (SCCVCD) on the locations of newly installed stormwater treatment measures, to assist the District with addressing potential vector control issues.

SCCVCD staff has identified a five-day maximum allowable water retention time, based on actual incubation periods of mosquito species in this area. With the exception of certain stormwater treatment measures designed to hold permanent water (e.g., wet ponds), all treatment measures should drain completely within five days to effectively suppress vector production. Please note that the design of stormwater treatment measures does not require that water be retained for five days. Treatment measure designs and maintenance plans must include mosquito control design and maintenance strategies included in Appendix F.

5.8 Plant Selection and Maintenance

Selecting the appropriate plants and using sustainable, horticulturally sound landscape design and maintenance practices are essential components of a successful landscape-based stormwater treatment measure. Appendix D provides a list of plants that can be used for stormwater treatment and Chapter 6 includes guidance in selecting the best plants for the specific stormwater treatment measures.

Plant Selection Guidance

Plant selection must consider the type of development and location, uses on the site and an appropriate design aesthetic. Ideally, a Landscape Architect will be involved as an active member of the design team early in the site design phase to review proposed stormwater measures and coordinate development of an integrated solution that responds to all of the various site goals and constraints. In some cases, one professional will design a stormwater control, while another designs the rest of the landscaping. In these situations it is critical for the professionals to work together very early in the process to integrate their designs.

Water Efficient Landscaping Requirements

The California Water Conservation in Landscaping Act of 2006 requires municipalities to adopt, by January 1, 2010, landscape water conservation ordinances that are at least as effective in conserving water as the Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (MWELO) prepared by the Department of Water Resources (DWR). The MWELO automatically went into effect, on January 1, 2010, in municipalities that had not adopted a local Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (WELO).

Governor Brown’s Drought Executive Order of April 1, 2015 (EO B-29-15) directed the DWR to update the State’s MWELO through expedited regulation. The California Water Commission approved the revised MWELO on July 15, 2015. The deadline for local agencies to adopt the
MWELO or adopt their own WELO, which must be at least as effective in conserving water, was December 1, 2015. The deadline for local agencies creating a regional ordinance was February 1, 2016.

Most new and rehabilitated landscapes are subject to a WELO. The MWELO applies to the following public landscapes and private development projects:

1. new construction projects with an aggregate landscape area equal to or greater than 500 square feet requiring a building or landscape permit, plan check or design review;
2. rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscape area equal to or greater than 2,500 square feet requiring a building or landscape permit, plan check, or design review.

Contact the municipality to determine whether your project is subject to the Model Ordinance or other local water efficient landscaping ordinance. Water conserving, drought tolerant plants that are suitable for use in stormwater treatment measures are listed in Table D-1 in Appendix D.

Bay Friendly Landscaping

Bay-Friendly landscaping is a whole systems approach to the design, construction and maintenance of the landscape in order to protect the San Francisco Bay watershed. Project sponsors are encouraged to use landscape professionals who are familiar with and committed to implementing Bay-Friendly landscaping practices from the initial plant selection through the long-term maintenance of the site. Appendix D summarizes Bay-Friendly Landscaping Practices that may be implemented to benefit water quality of the Bay and local creeks, based on the Bay-Friendly Landscaping Guidelines (available at www.rescapeca.org).

Integrated Pest Management

Integrated pest management (IPM) is a holistic approach to mitigating insects, plant diseases, weeds, and other pests. Projects that require a landscaping plan as part of a development project application will be required to use IPM practices, as indicated in each agency’s source control measures list. Avoiding pesticides and quick release synthetic fertilizers, covering exposed earth with appropriate mulch material, and nourishing the soil with compost are particularly important when maintaining stormwater treatment measures to protect water quality.

IPM encourages the use of many strategies for preventing and controlling pests. It places a priority on fostering a healthy environment in which plants have the strength to resist diseases and insect infestations, and out-compete weeds. Using IPM requires an understanding of the life cycles of pests and beneficial organisms, as well as regular monitoring of their populations. When pest problems are identified, IPM considers all viable solutions and uses a combination of strategies to control pests, rather than relying on pesticides alone. The least toxic pesticides are used only as a last resort. More information on IPM is included in Appendix D.

---

5 The Bay-Friendly Landscaping Coalition changed its name in 2016 to ReScape California.